

OHA CBO Public Health Equity Funding Outcomes Evaluation  
 Workshop 2 Notes: Purpose statement  
 Aug. 31, 2023

	Group 1	Group 2
Facilitator	Vashti	Jill
Support Person	Alex	EC
Number of attendees (CBO + OHA)	14	12
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Feedback on Alternative 1:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Appreciates the mention of commitment and the idea that it's a long-term investment by OHA.</li> <li>○ Likes the focus on addressing past harms and acknowledges the strength of CBOs.</li> <li>○ Also mentions purpose, reads simpler, and flows well from the start</li> <li>○ Some express concerns about using the word "expertise" as it might suggest a hierarchy.</li> <li>○ Emphasizes that the work is about building the community together, not doing things for them.</li> <li>○</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Feedback on Alternative 2:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Appreciates its brevity but feels that some elements are missing, particularly regarding the strength of CBOs.</li> <li>○ Suggest adding elements from Alternative 1 to make it more comprehensive.</li> <li>○ action-oriented, while Alternative 1 acknowledges the expertise of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Feedback on Alternative 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Feels like it has more soul and effort put into it.</li> <li>○ A concern about the phrase "address past harms."</li> <li>○ Some liked the commitment and points in Alternative 1.</li> <li>○ Mixed opinions on the word "commitment" and its impact.</li> <li>○ Suggestion to frame the word "commitment" better.</li> <li>○ Discussion about the order of presenting specifics and groundwork.</li> <li>○ Emphasis on the importance of "Why CBOs?" in Alternative 1.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Feedback on Alternative 2:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Feels more reflective of previous feedback.</li> <li>○ Appreciated the strategy aspect in Alternative 2.</li> <li>○ Concerns about the phrase "improving health equity" not resonating.</li> <li>○ Liked the succinct and less jargon-y style.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<p>Community-Based Organizations (CBOs), which is seen as critical.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Preferred the phrase "strategy for investing" over collaboration.</li> <li>○ Positive aspects include commitment and expressed commitment.</li> <li>○ Mixed opinions on the term "rectify."</li> <li>○ Suggestion to include the verb "addressing" to handle past harms.</li> <li>○ Preferred the softer tone of Alternative 2.</li> <li>○ Word "commitment" needs better framing.</li> <li>○ Importance of "Why CBOs?" getting lost in the second version.</li> <li>○ Emphasized the importance of recognizing CBOs for specific purposes.</li> <li>○ Acknowledgment of the role of CBOs in centering community strengths and wisdom.</li> <li>○ Clearer communication in Alternative 2 about investing in communities.</li> <li>○ Both versions have value, looking forward to a blend of the two.</li> </ul>
<p>Participants Suggested Revisions</p>	<p>Group 1 proposed revision:          “The Public Health Equity Fund is an investment in communities to improve health equity in Oregon. OHA partners with CBOs, who center community strengths, needs, and wisdom. CBOs work to ensure that groups impacted by past and current harms and injustices can fully access and utilize public health services to live happier and healthier lives.”</p>	<p>Group 2 proposed revision:          “To the extent possible OHA will work to establish this as a long-term sustained effort”</p>