Introductions + Ice Breaker In the Chat

- 1. Name
- 2. Pronouns
- 3. Organization
- 4. What are the top three items on your bucket list?

EVALUATION:

CBO Public Health Equity Funding Outcomes

February 1, 2024

Workgroup Meeting

»redegroup

bringing clarity, visibility, and equity to complex public health challenges

Project Team



PROJECT LEAD Alex Muvua



PROJECT COORDINATOR





FACILITATOR

Elena Rivera



FACILITATOR

Vashti Boyce



FACILITATOR

Beck Wright



FACILITATOR

Jill Hutson



DESIGN LEAD

Erin Charpentier

Agenda

Topic	Timeframe
Ice Breaker/Agenda	11:05-11:10
Key Evaluation Questions	11:10-11:55
Break	11:55-12:00
Evaluation Methods	12:00-12:50
Next Steps	12:50-12:55

Phase 2: Timeline

1/3-12
Draft TOC
review in
writing

(1 hour)



2/15-26
Draft eval plan review in

review in writing (1-2 hours)

2/27-3/8

Define key evaluation terms in writing (1-2 hours) 3/31 Submit eval plan to

OHA

JAN





MAR







1/18, 2/1, and 2/15 | 11:00-1:00pm

Virtual workshops to develop eval questions and methods. (6 hours in meetings, 2-4 hours between meetings)

3/11-3/22

CBO grantee reporting form adjustments in writing (1-2 hours)

Today's Tasks

- Review and refine Key Evaluation
 Questions
- 2. Begin a conversation about methods for answering KEQ

Key Evaluation Questions Through another lens



Key evaluation questions are high-level questions that are used to guide an evaluation. Good evaluation questions will get to the heart of what it is you want to know about your program, policy or service.

Key Evaluation Questions help define what success looks like.

CHOLESTEROL AND TRIGLYCERIDE LEVELS in adults TOTAL HDL LDL TRIGLYCERIDES HIGH 240 or higher 160 or higher 200 or higher n/a BORDERLINE 200-239 130-159 150-199 n/a GOOD less than 100 less than 150 less than 200 40 or higher LOW n/a less than 40 n/a n/a U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Mayo Clinic, and Cleveland Clinic

KEQ: How much progress am I making toward good?

The BIG Questions:
Once you have answers to these Key Evaluation Questions:

- 1) Will you know whether or not the program was successful?
- Will you have the information you need to make changes so the program can be successful?

Draft KEQs (1/4):

KEQ 1: In what ways has OHA created programs and policies to support CBOs in this program? What are areas of improvement?

Draft KEQs (2/4):

KEQ 2: In what ways, if any, has collaboration between OHA, CBOs, and partners changed as a result of this program?

Draft KEQs (3/4):

KEQ 3: In what ways, if at all, has the program increased accessibility and utilization of public health services for all Oregonians?

Draft KEQs (4/4):

KEQ 4: In what ways has power shifted from historic and current decision-makers to historically marginalized communities?

The BIG Questions:
Once you have answers to these Key Evaluation Questions:

- 1) Will you know whether or not the program was successful?
- Will you have the information you need to make changes so the program can be successful?

Jamboard + Small Group Work until 11:45



- 1. Answered with data
- 2. Answered sufficiently well to inform understanding and support action.
- Answered in a reasonable time frame and at reasonable cost.
- 4. The answer is not biased or predetermined by the phrasing of the question.
- 5. The primary intended users (you) want the question answered
- 6. The answer is actionable
- 7. The question is open-ended.

Fist to Five Voting



No way! I strongly object



I see major concerns, we need to discuss further



I still have reservations and would like to discuss some minor issues



I'm not in full agreement but I feel comfortable moving forward



Good idea/plan, I'm happy to move forward with it



It's a great idea, I fully support it and will champion it!



5-minute Break



Data Collection Methods



The NEXT BIG Question:

 What methods and evaluation resources are needed to answer the key evaluation questions.

	Grant reporting	Document analysis	Surveys
	Interviews (individual or group)	Focus groups (in-person, virtual, or asynchronous)	Observations
Methods	Case studies	Ripple Effects Mapping	Journey Mapping
	Most Significant Change	Outcomes Harvesting	Contribution Analysis
		Community forums, listening sessions, knowledge exchange events, etc	



	Grant reporting	Document analysis	Surveys
Methods (Less common or newer methods)	Interviews (individual or group)	Focus groups (in-person, virtual, or asynchronous)	Observations
	Case studies	Ripple Effects Mapping	Journey Mapping
	Most Significant Change	Outcomes Harvesting	Contribution Analysis
		Community forums, listening sessions, knowledge exchange events, etc	

Break out Rooms:

In breakout rooms you are asked to:

- Discuss your initial impressions about these methods
- Identify methods that peaked your interest or that seem like they could be a good fit for the evaluation
- Add any methods that you think should be considered

A facilitator will join your group to <u>listen</u> and <u>take notes</u> for the group.

Breakout groups will end at 12:45. Report out will be highlevel/"hot takes."

Next steps

- 1. Revise KEQs based on feedback
- 2. Next Workshop on Feb. 15 to finalize KEQ and evaluation methods

Thank you!

Questions?

Contact Elisabeth Castillo Elisabeth.castillo@redegroup.co

